7.0 AMBIENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This section describes the model selected, receptor grid development, and meteorological data used. The refined modeling analysis is being performed to show compliance with the AAC/AACCs and NAAQS as applicable. Appendix C includes a receptor grid printout and the modeling protocol.

7.1 AIR DISPERSION MODEL SELECTION

The EPA approved AERMOD model was chosen for this refined modeling analysis. AERMOD is a steady-state Gaussian plume model that considers simple and complex terrain.

7.2 RECEPTOR GRID SPACING

Receptors were placed along the facility fence line at 10-meter intervals and around the fencline at ten meter intervals out to 50 meters from the fence. A Cartesian receptor grid was developed outside the facility boundary. Receptors were placed at 25-meter spacing for a distance of 200 meters from the facility boundary. Receptors were placed at 50-meter spacing for distances out to 500 meters from the facility boundary.

7.3 RECEPTOR AND SOURCE ELEVATIONS

This facility is a proposed as a portable source. Receptor and source elevations are considered level terrain.

7.4 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Five years (1988-1992) of meteorological data was used in this modeling analysis. Meteorological data was supplied by Idaho DEQ. Surface and upper air met data is from the Boise Air Terminal in Boise, Idaho.

7.5 MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS

Model input parameters for point sources are listed in Table 7-1, and model inputs for the process fugitive volume sources in Table 7-2.

POINT SOURCE MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS INTERSTATE CONCRETE AND ASPHALT CORP. DOVER PORTABLE

		UTM	UIM	Stack	Stack	Stack	Flowrate	PM ₁₀ Model
Source	Source Description	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Height	Diameter (f)	Jemg E	(fps)	Emission Kate (lb/hr)
		(MAA)		To company and the property of the party of	Name and Associated As	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O		02000
0110	storage cilo filling	0	10	32.81	3.28	-460	0.0055	0.000
	SWIRE SILV MINIS			0000	000	47.0	00000	0.0150
aUHUIAMN	weigh honner loading baghouse	0	0	39.00	3.28	-400	0,0000	OCTO
WEIGING!	n		0.7	1000	000	450	0.0000	0.0130
STIPSITO	cement sumplement silo	-10	97	27.81	27.70	-400	0.000	OCTO!

VOLUME SOURCE WODEL INPUT PARAMETERS INTERSTATE CONCRETE AND ASPHALT CORP. DOVER PORTABLE

Source ID	Source Description	Easting (X) (m)	Northing (Y) (m)	Release Height (m)	Horizontal Dimension (m)	Vertical Dimension (m)	PM_10 (1b/hr)
							7 7 7
A C C C C A NID	1_	9	0	7.00	4.65	0.70	0.14
ACCROAND	Aggicgate/saila to/ mom storage pare		9	00 2	37 4	165	0.07
AGGTOSTO	Appregate/sand to elevated storage	2	3).UO	CO,4	CO.t	10:0
o recorded	20.00.7	×	c	00 2	4 65	7 22	000
TRUCKLOD truck loading	truck loading	-	>	2.UU	4.00	3.5	7110

7.6 BUILDING DATA

Buildings data was provided by Idaho DEQ as part of their developing policy on batch cement plants. Below are the building parameters input into the model as provided by DEQ.

TABLE 7-3
BUILDING INPUT PARAMETERS
INTERSTATE CONCRETE AND ASPHALT CORP.
DOVER PORTABLE

	Building ID	Height (m)	Width (m)	Length (m)	SW Corner UTM Easting (m)	SW Corner UTM Northing (m)
(General Building	10	20	20	-10	-10

7.7 PM₁₀ MODELING

The PM_{10} modeling analysis was performed to show compliance with the NAAQs for PM_{10} .

7.7.1 PM₁₀ MODELING METHODS

The AERMOD model was run using the model inputs listed in Tables 7-1, and 7-2. The model calculated only the 24-hour PM_{10} maximum concentrations. Annual averages were not necessary because the facility has a potential to emit less than 1 ton per year of PM_{10} and is therefore not required per the Idaho modeling guidance.

7.7.2 NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

A source cannot be modified or constructed in an attainment area if the change would cause or contribute to a violation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

PM₁₀ MODELING RESULTS

Fenceline receptor (-31, 26) was the predicted high 6th high 24-hour high. Table 7-4 presents a comparison of PM₁₀ modeling results with the respective NAAQS.

Two copies of all modeling files are provided in electronic format on CD-ROM.

TABLE 7-4 MODELED CONCENTRATIONS AND NAAQS INTERSTATE CONCRETE AND ASPHALT CORP. DOVER PORTABLE

Pollutant	Modeled Concentration (µg/m3)	NAAQS Averaging Period	Idaho Background Concentration (µg/m3)	Final Modeled Concentration (µg/m3)	NAAQS (µg/m3)
PM ₁₀	65.64*	24-hour	73	139	150

Notes:

Particulate Matter (aerodynamic diameter < 10 microns)

PM₁₀ $\mu g/m^3$

Micrograms per Cubic Meter High 6th High

7.7.4 PROPOSED SET BACK REQUIREMENT

A circular fenceline of 40 meters in diameter was used in the modeling analysis. The closest source to the fenceline is approximately 26 meters (85 feet). Therefore, a setback requirement of 85 feet from any school, public gathering place, etc. is proposed for this portable facility.

7.8 TAPS MODELING

The Toxic Air Pollutant (TAP) modeling analysis was performed to show compliance with the AAC/AACCs.

7.8.1 TAPS MODELING METHODS

The AERMOD model was run using emission rates calculated in the emission inventory for the Cement Silo, Fly Ash Silo, and Truck Mix Loading. Only pollutants which exceeded their ELs were modeled. Annual concentrations were determined for the remaining pollutants because they were being compared to their AACCs. The model input parameters are presented in Tables 7-1 and 7-2.

7.8.2 COMPARISON TO ACCEPTABLE AMBIENT CONCENTRATIONS

AACs and AACCs were reviewed for all compounds that exceeded their ELs. AACs are based on a 24-hour averaging period and AACCs are based on an annual averaging period. The emissions inventory was developed based on pollutant grouping rather than carcinogen/non-carcinogen groupings. Therefore AACs and AACCs were combined into one table.

As shown below in Table 7-5, all pollutants reviewed are below their respective AAC or AACC.

TABLE 7-5 TOXIC AIR POLLUTANTS MODELED CONCENTRATIONS INTERSTATE CONCRETE AND ASPHALT CORP. DOVER PORTABLE

Pollutant	Modeled 24-hour Concentration (µg/m³)	Modeled Annual Concentration (µg/m³)	Idaho AAC/ AACC (µg/m³)
Arsenic		9.00E-05	2.30E-04
Chromium (VI)	-	5.00E-05	8.30E-05

Notes: μg/m³

ug/m³ Microgr

Micrograms per Cubic Meter

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed installation of Interstate Concrete and Asphalt Company's 150 cubic yard per hour portable concrete batch plant can be allowed in accordance with Idaho's Air Quality Regulations. Interstate Concrete and Asphalt Corp. – Dover Plant is a minor source, meets the toxic air pollutant AACs/AACCs, and will not cause or contribute to a violation of the NAAQS.

APPENDIX A APPLICATION FORMS

Concrete Batch Plant Form CBP



PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT APPLICATION

RECEI Vo2#18/07

MAR 1 4 2007

Please see instructions on page 4 before filling out the form.

GENERAL INFORMATION

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY STATE A Q PROGRAM

Company Name:	Interstate Concrete and Asphalt Company	-		
Facility Name:	Dover Portable Plant	***************************************	Facility ID No:	
Brief Project Description:	Portable Concrete Batch Plant	white the second se		
Mailing Address:	845 West Kathleen Ave.			
City:	Coeur d'Alene	State:	Idaho	
Zip Code:	83814	County:		
General Nature of Business & Products:	Asphalt and Batch Concrete			
Contact Name, Title:	Corky Witherwax			
Phone:	(208) 765-1144	Cell:		
Email:	cwitherwax@oldcastlematerials.com			
		de de la seconia non escono escona de construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de		
Owner or Responsible Official Name, Title:	C. Patrick McFarlane, President			
Phone:	(208) 765-1144			
Email:				
Proposed Initial Plant Location:	SE1/4, SW1/4, Section 25, Township 57 North,	Range 3 Ea	st, Bonner County	
Nearest City:	Dover	Estimate		
County:	Bonner	Startup D		
Reason for Application:	Permit to construct a new source Permit to operate an existing unpermitted so Permit to modify/revise an existing permitted Permit No.: Issue Date: Facility ID:		entify the permit below)	
☐ Check here to indica	ate you would like to review a draft permit prior to	final issuand	ce.	
Comments:		anangan ang anangan ang mananing maninka dia minahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahah		

CONCRETE BATCH PLANT INFORMATION

1. Concrete Batch Plant

Manufacturer:	McNeilus		Model:	Batch Master 12
Manufacture Date:				
Maximum Hourly Thro	ughput:	150 (cy/hour)		
Maximum Daily Throu	ghput:	1800 (cy/day)		
Maximum Annual Thro	oughput:	(cy/year)		
Requested Annual Thi	roughput:	250,000 (cy/year)		

2a. Cement Storage Silo Baghouse No. 1

Manufacturer:	McNei	lus SFV170 (2)	Model:	
Stack Height from	Ground:	52 (ft)	Exit Air Flow Rate:	(acfm)
Stack Inside Diam	eter:	(ft)	* PM ₁₀ Control Efficiency:	(%)
* Manufacturer G	rain Loadir	g Guarantee:		
* Attach manufactu	rer's PM ₁₀	control efficiency if availab	le.	

2b. Cement Storage Silo Baghouse No. 2

Manufacturer: McNei	lus SFV170 (2)	Model:	
Stack Height from Ground:	52 (ft)	Exit Air Flow Rate:	(acfm)
Stack Inside Diameter:	(ft)	* PM ₁₀ Control Efficiency:	(%)
* Manufacturer Grain Loadin	g Guarantee:		
* Attach manufacturer's PM ₁₀	control efficiency if available.		

2c. Cement Supplement (such as flyash) Storage Silo Baghouse No. 1

Manufacturer:	McNelius		Model:	
Stack Height from	Ground:	(ft)	Exit Air Flow Rate:	(acfm)
Stack Inside Diame	eter:	(ft)	* PM ₁₀ Control Efficiency:	(%)
* Manufacturer Gra	ain Loading (Guarantee:		·
* Attach manufactur	rer's PM ₁₀ cor	ntrol efficiency if availab	ble.	

2d. Cement Supplement (such as flyash) Storage Silo Baghouse No. 2

Manufacturer:	McNeli	us		Model:	
Stack Height from	Ground:	(ft)		Exit Air Flow Rate:	(acfm)
Stack Inside Diame	eter:	(ft)		* PM ₁₀ Control Efficiency:	(%)
* Manufacturer Gra	ain Loadin	g Guarantee:			
* Attach manufactur	er's PM ₁₀ (control efficiency if availa	able.		

3. Weigh Batcher Baghouse(s)

Manufacturer: McNe	ius	Model: BFV15
Stack Height from Ground:	19 (ft)	Exit Air Flow Rate: 64 (acfm)
Stack Inside Diameter:	(ft)	* PM ₁₀ Control Efficiency: 99.6 (%)
* Manufacturer Grain Loadir	ng Guarantee:	
* Attach manufacturer's PM ₁₀	control efficiency if available.	

ELECTRICAL GENERATOR SET INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE)

Manufacturer:			М	Model:			
Maximum Rated Capacit	y:		□ Нр	☐ kW			
Fuel Type:		☐ Gasoline	☐ Diesel	☐ Natural Gas ☐ Propane			
Maximum Fuel Usage Ra	ite:		☐ gal./hr.	☐ cfh			
Maximum Daily Hrs. of C	perations:	(hours/d	iay)				
Maximum Annual Hrs. of	Operations:	(hours/)	/ear)				
Stack Parameters:		rom Ground (ft):	n-processor to the resolution of the second	Stack Exhaust Flow Rate (acfm):			
	Stack Insid	de Diameter (ft):		Stack Exhaust Gas Temperature (°F):			
ADDITIONAL GENERA	TOR (if appli	cable)					
Manufacturer:				Model:			
Maximum Rated Capacit	у:		□Нр	□ kW			
Fuel Type: Gasoline Diesel Natural Gas Propane							
Maximum Fuel Usage Rate: gal./hr. cfh							
Maximum Daily Hrs. of C	perations:	(hours	/day)				
Maximum Annual Hrs. o	f Operations:	(hours	/year)				
Stack Parameters:	7	rom Ground (ft):	*****	Stack Exhaust Flow Rate (acfm):			
	Stack Insid	de Diameter (ft):	Animalatina and conjunction and	Stack Exhaust Gas Temperature (°F):			
I hereby certify that based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information contained in this and any attached and/or referenced document(s) are true, accurate, and complete in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.123-124.							
C. latel Mc Fabore		President		3/12/07			
Responsible Official Signature		Responsib	le Official Title	Date			
C. Patrick McFarlane		MPAnnounce as					
Print or Type Responsible Office	cial Name						

APPENDIX B EMISSION INVENTORY SPREADSHEETS

Interstate Concrete and Asphalt Company

Potential Emissions

Batch Concrete Plant - Dover Plant February 19, 2007

1 14	TOTATA	139.9	107.1	36.8	5,5	12.5	301.8
	% of mix	46.3%	35.5%	12.2%	1.8%	4.2%	
akeup per yd3	% SQI	1,865	1,428	491	73	167	4,024
Typical Concrete Makeup per yd3		Aggregate	Sand	Cement	Flv Ash	Water	Total
		150	1314 000	250,000	1800		
	Monimum Dronocod Broduction	Maximum Freposed Fredomini	Conclete Froduction (year)	Concrete Frontier (yes/iii) was	Concrete Production (yaz)yi) resultated	Concrete Production (yaz/day) resurced	

		Uncontrolled	Incontrolled Uncontrolled	Controlled	أسيست	Controlled	Controlled
		36.	P. W.	PM to		PM to	PM
T.mieeinse A.		Emission	Emission	Emission	Emission	Potential	Potential
	Percent	Factor	Factor	Factor	Factor	Emissions	Emissions
2730-2740-2	Control	(lb/ton)	(lb/yd3)	(lb/yd3)	Reference	(lp/hr)	(tons/yr)
A monards Driven to Contract	75%	3.27E-03	3.05E-03	7.63E-04	AP-42, 13.2.4	0.057	0,095
Aggregate Curry to Crown	75%	9.86F-04	7,04E-04	1.76E-04	AP-42, 13.2.4	0,013	0,022
Salid During to Caround	75%	3.27F-03	3.05E-03	7.63E-04	AP-42, 13.2.4	0.057	0.095
Aggregate Dunip to Contrayor	75%	9 RRF-04	7.04E-04	1.76E-04	AP-42, 13.2.4	0.013	0.022
Sand Dump to Conveyor	200	00 250	60 330 6	7.625.04	AD-49 13.9.4	0.057	0.095
Aggregate Conveyor to Elevated Storage	0/.0/	3.2/5-03	0.000.00	20000			0000
Sond Conveyor to Flavated Storage	75%	9.86E-04	7,04E-04	1.76E-04	AP-42, 13.2.4	0.013	0.022
O mont Oilo i codino		0.00034	8.35E-05	8.35E-05	AP-42, 11.12-2 (6/06)	0.006	0.010
Certicil Sto Loading	7050	0.00040	3 95F-03	1 98E-04	AP-42, 11, 12-2 (6/06)	0.015	0.025
Weigh Hopper Loading	929	0,000,70		100	(30/3) 2 07 77 27 27	2,000	0000
Fly Ash Silo Unloading	~~~	0.00430	1.79E-04	1.795-04	AF-42, 11.12-2 (0/00)	0.0.0	V. Valent
Two by mix loading	95%	0.27800	7.84E-02	3.92E-03	AP-42, 11.12-2 (6/06)	0.294	0.490
Prince that roading							0.90

Notes: yd/hr lb/yd3 PM PM ₁₀

Cubic yards of concrete produced per hour

Pounds per cubic yard

Particulate Matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 microns

Aggregate and Sand Handling:

where: E = Emissions rate in pounds of PM10 per ton of material handled k = particle size multiplier for PM10 (0.35)
U = Wind speed (miles per hour)
M = Material moisture content (percent weight) E = K * (0.0032){(U/5)^{1.3} / (M/2)^{1.4}] (AP-42, Section 13.2.4)

_ Maggregate ≂ 0.00099 lb/ton sand 0,00327 lb/ton agg Eaggregate = Esand =

10 4.17

Note: Aggregate and Sand handling controlled by 75% water sprays.

Interstate Concrete and Asphalt Company Potential Emissions Batch Concrete Plant - Dower Plant 217807

Aggregate Sand Cement Fly Ash Water Total 1,314,000 250,000 250,000 1,800

% of mix 46.35% 35.40% 12.20% 1.81% 4.15%

1865 1828 491 73 767 4024

Typical Concrete Makeup per ydd

Baghouse Jun-06

Polistion Control Equipment. AP-42 Edition:

****	Cement Silo Filling	Coment Silo Filling	Truck Fitting	Truck Filling	Flyzsh Filling	Flyach Filling		ogs	Truck	Flyant		
oune	Emission	Emission	Uncontrolled	Controlled *	Entraton	Emission	Emission	Filling	Filling	Filling		idaho
-	Factor	Factor	Emission Factor	Emission Factor	Factor	Factor	Factor	Ensissions	Emissions	Emissions	Total	岀
Pollubant	(D/fort)	(Dh/yd3)	(toffort)	(ph/qq)	(lip/lipii)	(fb/yd3)	Reference	Ibfir	ita/tu	lbfir	ED, PL	(ht/di)
Araenic	4.24E-09	1,045.09	3.04E-08	4.29E-08	1.005-08	3.655-06	AP-42, Table 11.12-8 (6/06)	2.975-08	1.225-06	1,04E-06	2.29E-06	1.50E-06
Beryslum	4.885-10	1,195-10	2.445-07	3.44E-09	9.045-08	3,305-09	AP-42, Table 11,12-8 (6/06)	3,415-09	9.82E-08	9,42E-08	1.986-07	2.80E-05
Cadmium	4,865-10	1.195-10	3.42E-08	4.82E-10	1,986-10	7.23E-12	AP-42, Table 11.12-8 (6/06)	3.415-09	1,385.08	2,06E-10	1,745-08	3.70E-05
Chromiam	2.905-08	7,125-09	1,145-05	1,516-07	1.22E-06	4.4SE-08	AP-42, Table 11:12-8 (6/06)	2.035-07	4.59E-06	1,275-06	\$.06E-06	3.30E-02
Chromium (Al)	5,805-09	1,42E-09	2.28E-06	3.215-08	3,665-07	1.34E-08	DEG Guidance	4.0SE-08	9.17E-07	3.81E-07	1,345-06	5.805-07
Manganasa	1.17E-07	2.875-08	8,125-05	8.63E-07	2.585-07	9.345.09	AP-42, Table 11.12-8 (6/06)	8.20E-07	2.465-05	2.675-07	2.575-05	3,335-01
Nickel	4.18E-08	1,035-08	1.19E-05	1,685-07	2.285-06	8.32E-08	AP-42, Table 11:12-8 (6/06)	2,935-07	4.79E-06	2,385-06	7.465-06	2.70E-05
Phosphorus	ð.	Q	3,845-05	5.415-07	3,545-06	1.29E-07	AP-42, Table 11.12-8 (S/05)	9	1.555.05	3.895-08	1.916.06	7,005-03
Selection	ON.	ON	2.625-06	3.89E-08	7.245-08	2.64E-09	AP-42, Table 11, 12-8 (8/06)	QN	1.05E-06	7.54E-08	1.135-06	1.30E-02

144ho AACC (Mahin) 2.38Fc4 4.28Fc4 5.80Fc4 25 8.30Fc6 4.20Fc6 15

idaho AAC (mg/m3)

Modeled Annual Concerbrition (ug/m3) 9.00E-05

Modeled 24-hour Concentration (ug/m3)

2.50E-02 2.50E-01 5.00E-03 1.00E-02

Scheeden ND

Controlled ROY

Purple Medicanies of Cabic Heart

Mark Pounds per Hour

Mar

APPENDIX C

RECEPTOR GRID PRINTOUT AND MODELING PROTOCOL

Scale; 1" = 123.5 Meters

F:\Projects\2007\ICA07002\Mode\\Dovermode\2.8ST

Concrete Batch Plant

Mark Peterson

From: Kevin.Schilling@deq.idaho.gov

Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2007 9:29 AM

To: mark@aspence.net

Subject: RE: ICA Modeling Protocol

Mark,

I have reviewed your revised protocol and have determined the proposed methods and data are acceptable. When determining the setback, please use the distance from the nearest emissions source to the receptor location having the maximum 6th highest modeled PM10 value for the 24-hour standard and the maximum 1st high modeled PM10 value for the annual standard, whichever is larger.

Thank you,

Kevin Schilling Stationary Source Air Modeling Coordinator Idaho Department of Environmental Quality 208 373-0112

From: Mark Peterson [mailto:mark@aspence.net]

Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2007 3:35 PM

To: Kevin Schilling

Subject: ICA Modeling Protocol

Kevin,

Here is our revised protocol. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks, Mark

Air Dispersion Modeling Protocol - Concrete Batch Plant

Proposed Project: Interstate Concrete and Asphalt is proposing a portable 150 yd³/hr batch concrete facility. Interstate proposes limits of1,800 yd³/day and 250,000 yd³/yr of concrete. This plant will be known as the Dover Plant.

Location: The initial location will be near Dover, Idaho in Bonner County.

- An emissions inventory (EI) based on the plant's capacity and proposed maximum daily and annual operations will be included with the application, and will comply with the following:
 - Emissions will be calculated using AP-42 emission factors and good engineering judgment.
 - b. Fugitive emissions sources will be included in the EI, except for emissions resulting from vehicle traffic and wind erosion from storage piles.
 - c. The level of emissions control assumed for each source will be clearly specified.
 - d. Cr+6 will be presumed to comprise 20% of the total chromium emissions from cement silo filling, and 30% of the total chromium emissions from cement

- supplement (flyash) silo filling.
- 2) The proposed project will meet all of the criteria specified below, and Interstate Concrete and Asphalt agrees to accept permit conditions requiring continuing compliance with the physical parameters and setback distance(s) established by the refined modeling analysis. Interstate Concrete and Asphalt is requesting that the DEQ generic model results (with adjustments made for the lower emission rates and run on Boise Met data supplied by DEQ) be used to demonstrate preconstruction compliance with NAAQS and TAPs for this project. An additional modeling analysis will be submitted for this project to determine the set back requirement. Set back will be determined based on a circular fenceline of a diameter sufficient to meet the standards. The set back will be proposed as the distance from the nearest source to the fenceline location nearest the high receptor.

Table 1. CRITERIA FOR USING DEQ'S CONCRETE MODELING RESULTS FOR AIR IMPACE	
Parameter	Criteria
Concrete batch plant type	Truck mix (redi-mix) plant
Operation in any PM ₁₀ nonattainment area.	Not proposed.
Maximum daily concrete production	1,800 yd ³ /day
Maximum annual concrete production	250,000 yd ³ /yr
Minimum stack height for cement and supplement storage silo baghouse(s)	10 meters (32.8 ft)
Minimum stack height for weigh hopper loading baghouse (s)	10 meters (32.8 ft)
Minimum distance from nearest edge of any emissions source to the ambient air boundary	Based on Model Results
Minimum distance from nearest edge of any emissions source to any other source of emissions, including another concrete batch plant, hot mix asphalt plant, or rock crushing plant.	200 meters (656 ft)
Minimum control of truck-mix loadout source	95% Control e.g., Boot/shroud, water sprays, or baghouse/cartridge filter
Minimum control of weigh hopper loading	95% Control e.g., boot/shroud, water sprays, or baghouse/cartridge filter
Minimum control of fugitive emissions from aggregate and sand transfer point sources	75% Control e.g., water sprays, shrouds, or sand/aggregate is wet on an as-received basis, and used before significantly drying out.
Presence of a generator	No generator.